DISCLAIMER:

This study is based on the StatsSA Census data of 2011. The results are not intended to provide an indication of actual future figures. Rather the intention is to provide for an understanding of how projections are arrived at in all their limitations. Projections can allow for an opportunity to interrogate assumptions made in future projections and act as a guide to thinking about how to manage and address future growth.
# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

LIST OF TABLES ..............................................................................................................................iv

LIST OF FIGURES ..........................................................................................................................v

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY ..................................................................................................................viii

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

1.1 BACKGROUND AND STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM .............................................................1

1.2 OVERALL AIM OF STUDY .........................................................................................................2

1.3 SPECIFIC OBJECTIVES ............................................................................................................2

CHAPTER 2: DATA AND METHODS

2.1 INTRODUCTION .......................................................................................................................4

2.2 DATA .........................................................................................................................................4

2.2.1 Demographic analysis .........................................................................................................4

2.2.2 Financial analysis ...............................................................................................................5

2.3 METHODS ..................................................................................................................................6

2.3.1 Demographic analysis .........................................................................................................6

2.3.1.1 Basic demographic and population indicators ...............................................................6

2.3.1.2 The population projections ............................................................................................6

2.3.2 Projecting Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality’s population .................................................9

2.3.3 Base population for the projections ....................................................................................10

2.3.4 Assumptions in the population projections .........................................................................10

2.3.4.1 Incorporating HIV/AIDS ................................................................................................12
2.3.5 Financial analysis ........................................................................................................13

CHAPTER 3: RESULTS PART 1: BASIC DEMOGRAPHIC AND POPULATION INDICATORS, 2001 AND 2011

3.1 INTRODUCTION ........................................................................................................16

3.2 DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE .........................................................................................16

3.2.1 Population size .......................................................................................................16

3.2.2 Annual growth rate and doubling time ..................................................................17

3.2.3 Age structure of the population .............................................................................19

3.3 HOUSEHOLD PROFILE ............................................................................................25

3.3.1 Number of housing units and growth ....................................................................25

3.3.2 Number of persons in households .........................................................................27

3.3.3 Household headship ..............................................................................................................29

3.3.4 Median age of household heads .............................................................................30

3.4 EDUCATIONAL PROFILE .........................................................................................31

3.5 VULNERABILITY AND POVERTY .............................................................................34

3.5.1 Unemployment ........................................................................................................34

3.5.2 Income ......................................................................................................................37

3.5.3 Tenure status ............................................................................................................38

3.5.4 Household access to energy and sanitation ..............................................................38

CHAPTER 4: RESULTS PART 2: PROJECTED POPULATION OF NELSON MANDELA BAY MUNICIPALITY, 2011 – 2021

4.1 ABSOLUTE NUMBERS AND GROWTH RATES .....................................................40
CHAPTER 5: RESULTS PART 3: MID-2016 WARD LEVEL POPULATION ESTIMATES WITHIN NELSON MANDELA BAY MUNICIPALITY

5.1 INTRODUCTION ...........................................................................................................................................42

5.2 THE ESTIMATED 20 LARGEST WARDS IN NELSON MANDELA BAY MUNICIPALITY IN MID-2016 ...............................................................................................................................................42

CHAPTER 6: RESULTS PART 4: FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS OF POPULATION CHANGE FOR REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE IN NELSON MANDELA BAY MUNICIPALITY

6.1 INTRODUCTION ............................................................................................................................................44

6.2 NELSON MANDELA BAY MUNICIPALITY MUNICIPAL REVENUE OUTCOMES FOR 2005 TO 2014 ........................................................................................................................................44

6.3 NELSON MANDELA BAY MUNICIPALITY MUNICIPAL REVENUE PROJECTION OUTCOMES FOR 2015 TO 2021 .......................................................................................................................45

CHAPTER 7: DISCUSSION, CONCLUSION AND LIMITATIONS

7.1 DEMOGRAPHIC ANALYSIS ...............................................................................................................................49

7.1.2 Limitations of the demographic analysis ...............................................................................................50

7.2 FINANCIAL ANALYSIS .................................................................................................................................51

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS ........................................................................................................................................54

REFERENCES .........................................................................................................................................................55

APPENDIX 1: DEFINITIONS OF IDENTIFIED DEMOGRAPHIC, POPULATION AND REVENUE INDICATORS .................................................................................................................................57

APPENDIX 2: THE ESTIMATED ABSOLUTE MID-2016 WARD POPULATION SIZE, NELSON MANDELA BAY MUNICIPALITY .......................................................................................................................59
# LIST OF TABLES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>CHAPTER 2</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>FERTILITY ASSUMPTIONS IN THE PROVINCIAL POPULATION PROJECTIONS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>MORTALITY ASSUMPTIONS IN THE PROVINCIAL PROJECTIONS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>NET MIGRATION (INTERNAL &amp; INTERNATIONAL) ASSUMPTIONS IN THE PROVINCIAL PROJECTIONS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CHAPTER 4</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>PROJECTED POPULATION OF EASTERN CAPE PROVINCE AND NELSON MANDELA BAY MUNICIPALITY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>PROJECTED ANNUAL POPULATION GROWTH RATES (PERCENTAGE) OF THE EASTERN CAPE AND NELSON MANDELA BAY MUNICIPALITY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CHAPTER 6</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>MUNICIPAL REVENUE PROJECTION RESULTS FOR NELSON MANDELA BAY MUNICIPALITY, 2015 TO 2021</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## LIST OF FIGURES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Figure</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>POPULATION SIZE OF SOUTH NELSON MANDELA BAY MUNICIPALITY, 2001 AND 2011</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>PERCENTAGE ANNUAL GROWTH RATE, 2001-2011</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>DOUBLING TIME OF THE POPULATION</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>PERCENTAGE AGED 0-14 YEARS, 2001 AND 2011</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>PERCENTAGE AGED 15-64 YEARS, 2001 AND 2011</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>PERCENTAGE AGED 65 YEARS AND OVER, 2001 AND 2011</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>OVERALL DEPENDENCY BURDEN, 2001 AND 2011</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>CHILD DEPENDENCY BURDEN, 2001 AND 2011</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>ELDERLY DEPENDENCY BURDEN, 2001 AND 2011</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>SIZE OF THE ELDERLY POPULATION, 2001 AND 2011</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>PERCENTAGE ANNUAL GROWTH RATE OF THE ELDERLY POPULATION, 2001-2011</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>PERCENTAGE OF THE YOUTH POPULATION, 2001 AND 2011</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>NUMBER OF HOUSING UNITS 2001 AND 2011</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>PERCENTAGE ANNUAL GROWTH RATE IN THE NUMBER OF HOUSING UNITS, 2001-2011</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLDS WITH SPECIFIED NUMBER OF PERSONS, 2001</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLDS WITH SPECIFIED NUMBER OF PERSONS, 2011</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.18</td>
<td>AVERAGE HOUSEHOLD SIZE, 2001 AND 2011</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.19 PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLDS HEADED BY MALE/FEMALE, 2001 ....................29
3.20 PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLDS HEADED BY MALE/FEMALE, 2011 ....................29
3.21 MEDIAN AGE OF HOUSEHOLD HEADS BY SEX, 2001 ........................................30
3.22 MEDIAN AGE OF HOUSEHOLD HEADS BY SEX, 2011 ........................................30
3.23 PERCENTAGE OF THE POPULATION WITH NO SCHOOLING BY SEX (PERSONS AGED 25 YEARS AND OVER), 2001 ..............................................................31
3.24 PERCENTAGE OF THE POPULATION WITH NO SCHOOLING BY SEX (PERSONS AGED 25 YEARS AND OVER), 2011 ..............................................................32
3.25 PERCENTAGE OF THE POPULATION WITH GRADE 12 BY SEX (PERSONS AGED 25 YEARS AND OVER), 2001 ..............................................................32
3.26 PERCENTAGE OF THE POPULATION WITH GRADE 12 BY SEX (PERSONS AGED 25 YEARS AND OVER), 2011 ..............................................................33
3.27 PERCENTAGE OF THE POPULATION WITH BACHELOR'S DEGREE OR HIGHER BY SEX (PERSONS AGED 25 YEARS AND OVER), 2001 ..............................................................33
3.28 PERCENTAGE OF THE POPULATION WITH BACHELOR'S DEGREE OR HIGHER BY SEX (PERSONS AGED 25 YEARS AND OVER), 2011 ..............................................................34
3.29 PERCENTAGE UNEMPLOYED (EXPANDED DEFINITION) LAST 7 DAYS BY SEX, 2001 ..............................................................35
3.30 PERCENTAGE UNEMPLOYED (EXPANDED DEFINITION) LAST 7 DAYS BY SEX, 2011 ..............................................................35
3.31 PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD HEADS UNEMPLOYED (EXPANDED DEFINITION) LAST SEVEN DAYS, 2001 AND 2011 ..............................................................36
3.32 PERCENTAGE OF YOUTHS UNEMPLOYED (EXPANDED DEFINITION) LAST 7 DAYS, 2001 AND 2011 ..............................................................36
3.33 PERCENTAGE OF THE EMPLOYED WITH SPECIFIED INCOME PER MONTH, 2001 ..............................................................37
3.34 PERCENTAGE OF THE EMPLOYED WITH SPECIFIED INCOME PER MONTH, 2011 ..............................................................37
3.35 PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLDS BONDED OR PAYING RENT, 2001 AND 2011 ....38
3.36 PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLDS WITHOUT ELECTRICITY FOR LIGHTING, 2001 AND 2011 .................................................................39

3.37 PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLDS WITHOUT ACCESS TO FLUSH TOILETS, 2001 AND 2011 .................................................................39

CHAPTER 5

5.1 THE ESTIMATED 20 LARGEST WARDS IN NELSON MANDELA BAY MUNICIPALITY 43

CHAPTER 6

6.1 MUNICIPAL REVENUES FOR NELSON MANDELA BAY MUNICIPALITY, 2005 TO 2014 (RAND) .................................................................45

6.2 COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF TOTAL REVENUE IN NOMINAL TERMS, 2015 TO 2021 (RAND) .................................................................47

6.3 COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF PER CAPITA REVENUE IN NOMINAL TERMS, 2015 TO 2021 (RAND) .................................................................48
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The relationship between population and development is recognised by various governments. In order to measure progress on socio-economic development, indicators are required. The traditional source of population figures at lower geographical levels is the census. However, census figures are outdated immediately they are released since planners require population figures for the present and possibly, for future dates. In an attempt to meet the demand for current population figures, many organisations produce mid-year population estimates and projections. Statistics South Africa (Stats SA) produces mid-year estimates at national and provincial levels but these estimates often do not meet the needs of local administrators.

Some of South Africa’s population are concentrated in cities or metros. Cities play a key role in the economic development of any country. Population dynamics in South African cities have financial implications. For efficient allocation of scarce resources, there is a need for revenue optimisation to meet the increasing demands and maintenance of public services and infrastructure driven by the growth of population in South African cities. In order to achieve this, accurate and reliable information about population dynamics is required to inform planning for city services and infrastructure demand as well as revenue assessment. In view of the above, the overall aim of this study is to develop indicators and provide population figures arising from population dynamics and characteristics as well as determine their municipal finance effects for the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality. Thus, this study had two broad components – demographic analysis and financial analysis. Several data sets and methods were utilised in order to achieve the objectives of this study. The results for the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality were compared with those for Eastern Cape Province (where the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality is located) and South Africa as a whole to provide a wider context.

The results have many aspects. The levels of the indicators produced in this study indicate that there are some areas where the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality shows higher levels of human development than Eastern Cape Province and the general population of South
Africa. However, development plans needs to take into consideration some of the levels of the indicators. These include population growth, age structure of the population, and growth in housing units, income poverty and vulnerability.

Regarding the population projections, the results indicate the population of Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality could increase from about 1,236,632 in 2016 to about 1,348,269 in 2021. The estimated ward populations in the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality varied. This implies different levels of development challenges in the City’s wards such as provision of health care, housing, electricity, water, sanitation, etc.

The results from the financial analysis suggests that relatively high levels of real municipal revenue growth during the period 2015 to 2021 will be realized with the demographic dividend of lower population growth providing the extra benefit of high real per capita revenue growth rates. The main reasons which were identified for such growth include, inter alia strong growth of the middle and upper income groups in Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality, increasing concentration of economic activity in Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality, growing trade and investment, new manufacturing and service projects as well as the broadening of the industrial and tourism base in Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality. However, it should be emphasised that municipal revenue growth in Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality would have been even higher in the presence of higher economic growth rates, employment and household income growth rates than the forecasts underlying the figures shown in this report.
CHAPTER 1
INTRODUCTION

1.1 BACKGROUND AND STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

Improvement of the welfare of people is at the centre of all socio-economic development planning. The purpose of all global development initiatives espoused in international conferences is to improve people’s welfare. National and sub-national development plans place improvement of people’s welfare as their core focus. Therefore, South Africa’s development plans including Integrated Development Plans (IDPs) may be seen in this context.

The relationship between population and development has been emphasised in various international population conferences and is recognised by various governments. This is reflected in various governments’ population policies. In this context, South Africa’s population policy noted that: “The human development situation in South Africa reveals that there are a number of major population issues that need to be dealt with as part of the numerous development programmes and strategies in the country” (Department of Welfare, 1998) thus drawing a link between population and development. In order to measure progress on socio-economic development, indicators are required. Indicators provide a tool for understanding the characteristics and structure of the population.

Planning to improve the welfare of people often is done, not only at national level but also at lower geographical levels such as provinces, municipal/metro and wards levels (in the case of South Africa). The traditional source of population figures at lower geographical levels is the census. However, census figures are outdated immediately they are released since planners require population figures for the present and possibly, for future dates.

In an attempt to meet the demand for current population figures, many organisations produce mid-year population estimates and projections. However, these estimates
are usually at higher geographical levels. In the case of South Africa, Statistics South Africa (Stats SA) (the official agency providing official statistics) produces mid-year estimates for limited geographical levels – national and provincial levels (Stats SA 2014). Nevertheless, population estimates at higher geographical levels often do not meet the needs of local administrators such as city administrators.

Some of South Africa’s population are concentrated in cities or metros. According to Udjo’s (2014) estimates, the City of Johannesburg, City of Cape Town, eThekwini, Nelson Mandela Bay and the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality respectively had the highest populations in South Africa in 2014 (ranging between 3.07 million to 4.67 million). Apart from fertility and mortality, migration is an important driver of population growth in South Africa’s cities and metros as is the case elsewhere globally. Cities play a key role in the economic development of any country. For example, The City of Johannesburg is often referred to as the commercial hub of South Africa.

However, population dynamics (changes in population size due to the fertility, mortality and net migration) in South African cities have financial implications. For efficient allocation of scarce resources, there is a need for revenue optimisation to meet the increasing demands and maintenance of public services and infrastructure driven by the growth of population in South African cities. In order to achieve this, accurate and reliable information about population dynamics is required to inform planning for city services and infrastructure demand as well as revenue assessment.

1.2 OVERALL AIM OF STUDY

In view of the above, the overall objective of the study was to provide indicators and population figures arising from population dynamics and characteristics and determine their municipal revenue effects for the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality.

1.3 SPECIFIC OBJECTIVES

Arising from the above overall aim, the specific objectives of the study are to:
2. provide projections of the population of the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality from 2011 to 2021.
3. provide mid-2016 ward level population estimates within the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality.
4. undertake a literature review on the impact of demographic change on metropolitan finances.
5. analyse and estimate current and future relationship between demographic change metropolitan finances (both revenue and expenditure side) with relevant financial indicators in the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality.

Although the focus in this study is on the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality, to provide a context, the results are compared with the national figures as well as Eastern Cape, the province in which the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality is located.
CHAPTER 2
DATA AND METHODS

2.1 INTRODUCTION

Several data sets and methods were utilised in this study. There were two analytical aspects, namely; demographic and financial analysis. We describe the data sets and methods according to these two aspects.

2.2 DATA

2.2.1 Demographic analysis

The sources of data for the studies are Stats SA. The data include the 1996, 2001 and 2011 Censuses. Census (and survey) data have weaknesses in varying degrees from one country to the other. Despite the weaknesses the Stats SA’s data may contain, they provide uniform sources for comparison of estimates between and within cities. The purpose of the study was not to establish “exact” magnitudes (whatever those may be) but to provide indications of magnitudes of differences between and within South Africa’s cities within the context of the objectives of the study.

The overall undercount in the 1996 census was 11%. It increased to 18% in the 2001 Census and decreased to 14.6% in the 2011 Census (Statistics South Africa 2003, 2012). The tabulations on which the computations in the demographic aspect of this study were based were on the 2011 provincial boundaries. The adjustment of the 2001 provincial boundaries to the 2011 provincial boundaries was carried out by Stats SA. At the time of this study, the 1996, 2001 and 2011 Censuses’ data adjusted to the new 2016 municipal boundaries were not available. South Africa’s post-apartheid censuses are considered as controversial (Dorrington 1999; Sadie 1999; Shell 1999; Phillips, Anderson & Tsebe, 1999; Udjo 1999; 2004a; 2004b). Some of the controversies pertain to the reported age-sex distributions (especially the 0-4-year age group) and the overall adjusted census figures. A number of the limitations in
the data relevant to the present study were addressed in Udjo’s (2005a; 2005b; 2008) studies and subsequently incorporated in this study.

2.2.2 Financial analysis

A total of 10 Stats SA Financial Censuses of municipality data sheets in Excel format were downloaded from the Stats SA website (www.statssa.gov.za) for analytical purposes (Statistics South Africa, 2006 to 2016), namely Eastern Cape Census of municipality data sheets for 2005 to 2014 (10 data sheets). In addition to the 10 data sheets shown above, two other data sheets were used for the purposes of the financial analyses conducted for the purposes of this report, namely:

- The demographic data generated by Prof Udjo with respect to the municipal population of Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality.
- Household consumption expenditure in nominal and real terms required to calculate the expenditure deflator, used to derive real municipal revenue growth totals with respect to Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality (South African Reserve Bank (SARB), 2016).

The 12 data sheets obtained from Stats SA and the SARB as indicated above were scrutinized for potential missing data and were checked for possible anomalies such as volatility in the data sets and definitional changes in the metadata. Having completed suitable analyses for such missing data and volatilities the data were found to be in good order for inclusion in municipal revenue time-series for the purposes of this project.

Although it would have been ideal to be able to disaggregate the municipal revenue data into sub-categories such as residential, commercial/business, state and other, it was not part of the brief of this project to conduct such breakdowns. Furthermore, the necessary data for such breakdowns are not readily available due to definitional problems and it will require many hours of analyses and modelling to derive reliable and valid time-series at such a level of disaggregation.
2.3 METHODS

2.3.1 Demographic analysis

2.3.1.1 Basic demographic and population indicators

The indicators that were considered relevant are listed in Appendix 1. The definition of each indicator is also shown in Appendix 1. The statistical computation of the indicators is incorporated in the definitions of some of the indicators while a few of the indicators utilised indirect or direct demographic methods. These include annual growth rates and singulate mean age at marriage.

- Annual growth Rates

Annual growth rates were computed for some indicators. The computation utilised the geometric method of the exponential form expressed as

\[ P_t = P_0 e^{rt} \]

\( P_0 \) is the base population at the base period, \( P_t \) is the estimated population at time \( t \), \( t \) is the number of years between the base period and time \( t \), \( r \) is the growth rate and \( e \) the base of the natural logarithm.

- Singulate Mean Age at Marriage

The singulate mean age at first marriage is an estimate of the mean number of years lived by a cohort before their first marriage (Hajnal, 1953). It is an indirect estimate of the mean age at first marriage and was estimated from the responses to the current marital status question. Assuming all first marriages took place by age 49, the singulate mean age at first marriage (SMAM) is expressed as:

\[ SMAM = \frac{\sum_{x=0}^{49} \{ P_x - (50P_{45-54}) \} / (1 - P_{45-54})}{1} \]

where \( P_x \) is the proportion single at age \( x \) (Udjo, 2014a).

2.3.1.2 The population projections

The population projections utilised a top-down approach; that is, the population projections at a higher hierarchy were first conducted. The rationale for this is that
the quantity of data is usually richer at higher geographical levels and hence the estimates at the higher geographical levels provide control for the projections at lower geographical levels. Therefore, the projections of the population of Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality entailed two stages. Firstly, a cohort component projection of the population of Eastern Cape (the province in which Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality is located) from 2011 to 2021 was undertaken. Secondly, the projected population of Eastern Cape Province was then used as part of the inputs for projecting the population of the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality.

The Cohort Component Method Projections of the Provincial Population

The cohort component method is an age-sex decomposition of the Basic Demographic Equation:

\[ P_{(t+n)} = P_t + B_{(t, t+n)} - D_{(t, t+n)} + I_{(t, t+n)} - O_{(t, t+n)} \]

Where:
- \( P_t \) is the base population at time \( t \),
- \( B_{(t, t+n)} \) is the number of births in the population during the period \( t, t+n \),
- \( D_{(t, t+n)} \) is the number of deaths in the population during the period \( t, t+n \),
- \( I_{(t, t+n)} \) is the number of in-migrants into the population during the period \( t, t+n \),
- \( O_{(t, t+n)} \) is the number of out-migrants from the population during the period \( t, t+n \).

Thus, the cohort component method involves projecting mortality, fertility and net migration separately by age and sex. The technical details are given in Preston, et al. (2001). The application in the present study was as follows: Past levels of fertility and mortality in Eastern Cape were obtained partly from Udjo’s (2005a; 2005b; 2008) studies. With regard to current levels of fertility, the Relational Gompertz model (see Brass 1981) was fitted to reported births in the previous 12 months and children ever born by reproductive age group of women in Eastern Cape in the 2011 Census to detect and adjust for errors in the data. This approach yielded fertility estimates for the Eastern Cape Province for the period 2011. Assumptions about future levels
of fertility in the Eastern Cape Province were made by fitting a logarithm curve to the estimated historical and current levels of fertility in Eastern Cape.

Estimates of mortality in Eastern Cape were obtained from two sources, namely; (1) the 2008 and 2011 Causes of Death data, and (2) the age-sex distributions of household deaths in the preceding 12 months in Eastern Cape in the 2011 Census. The estimated life expectancies from these sources were not consistent. In particular, the trends comparing the levels estimated from the 2008 and 2011 Causes of Death data were highly improbable. The trend comparing the levels estimated from the 2008 Causes of Death data and the age-sex distributions of household deaths in the preceding 12 months in the 2011 Census seemed more probable given that life expectancy at birth does not increase sharply within a short time period (in this case, three years). In view of this, assumptions about future levels of life expectancy at birth in Eastern Cape were made by fitting a logistic curve to the life expectancies estimated from the 2008 Causes of Death data and the age-sex distributions of household deaths in Eastern Cape in the 2011 Census.

Net migration is the most problematic component of population change to estimate due to lack of data. This is a worldwide problem with the exception of the Scandinavian countries that operate efficient population registers where migration moves are registered. Net migration in South Africa is a challenge to estimate because of (1) outdated data on immigration and emigration. Even at provincial and city levels, one has to take into consideration immigration and emigration in population projections. Nevertheless, there has been no new processed information on immigration and emigration from Stats SA (due to lack of data from the Department of Home Affairs) since 2003. The second reason is that (2) although information on provincial in- and out-migration as well as immigration can be obtained from the censuses; censuses usually do not collect information on emigration though a few African countries (such as Botswana) have done so. The recent South African 2016 Community Survey by Stats SA included a module on migration. Although the results have been released, the raw data files were not yet available to the public at the time of this study. The third reason is that (3)
undocumented migration further complicates migration estimates – even though the migration questions in South Africa’s censuses theoretically capture both documented and undocumented migrants.

In view of the above, current trends in net migration in Eastern Cape, which includes foreign-born persons, was based on the 2011 Census questions on province of birth (foreign born coded as outside South Africa); living in this place since October 2001; and province of previous residence (foreign born coded as outside South Africa). Migration matrix tables were obtained from these questions and from which net migration was estimated for the provinces. Emigration was incorporated into the estimates based on projecting emigration from obsolete Home Affairs data (in the absence of any other authentic data that are nationally representative).

2.3.2 Projecting Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality’s population

The ratio method was used to project the population of the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality. Firstly, population ratios of the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality population to Eastern Cape population based on the 1996, 2001 and 2011 Censuses as well as on the 2011 provincial boundaries were first computed. Next, ratios of the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality population to the district population in which it is located based on the 1996, 2001 and 2011 Censuses were computed. Secondly, linear interpolation was used to estimate the population ratios for each of the years 1996-2001 as well as the period 2001-2011. Thirdly, the population ratios for 2009, 2010 and 2011 were extrapolated to 2021 using least squares fitting on the assumption that the trend would be linear during the projection period (of 10 years). To obtain the population projections for the City of the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality, the extrapolated ratios were applied to the projected provincial population.

The steps involved in projecting the provincial and city’s population described above are summarised as follows:
1. Estimate historical levels of provincial fertility, mortality and net migration.

2. Estimate current (i.e. 2011) levels of provincial fertility, mortality and net migration.

3. Project 2011-2021 levels of provincial fertility, mortality and net migration based on historical and current levels.

4. Project Provincial population 2011-2021 using (3) above as inputs and 2011 census provincial population.


6. Project the ratios for the city in (5) above to 2021.

7. Compute the product of projected ratios in (6) above and projected provincial population 2011-2021 in (4) above to obtain the projected City’s population 2011-2021.

2.3.3 Base population for the projections

The base population for the population projections were the population figures from the 2011 Census. Since the 2011 Census was undertaken in October 2011 and since population estimates are conventionally produced for mid-year time periods, the 2011 Census age-sex distributions were adjusted to mid-2011. This was done by age group using geometric interpolation of the exponential form on the 2001 and 2011 age-sex distributions.

2.3.4 Assumptions in the population projections

*Fertility:* It was assumed that the overall fertility trend follows more or less a logarithm curve (See table 2.1 for the fertility assumptions).

*Life Expectancy at birth:* Though inconsistent results were obtained from the analysis of mortality from the 2008 and 2011 Causes of Death data as well as the
distribution of household deaths in the preceding 12 months in the 2011 Census, a marginal improvement in life expectancy at birth was assumed and that the improvement would follow a logistic curve with an upper asymptote of 70 years for males and 75 years for females (See table 2.2 for the mortality assumptions).

*Net migration:* On the basis of the analysis carried out on the migration data described above, the net migration volumes shown in table 2.3 were assumed for the provinces.

**TABLE 2.1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Province</th>
<th>Total fertility rate*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Cape</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Estimates were based on extrapolating historical and current levels.*

**TABLE 2.2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Province</th>
<th>Life expectancy at birth (years, both sexes)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Cape</td>
<td>50.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Estimates were based on extrapolating historical and current levels.*

**TABLE 2.3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Province</th>
<th>Net migrants (both sexes)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Cape</td>
<td>-9 278</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Estimates were based on extrapolating historical and current levels.*
2.3.4.1 Incorporating HIV/AIDS

HIV/AIDS was incorporated into the projections using INDEPTH (2004) life tables as a standard.

Mid-2016 Ward Level Population Projections within the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality

To project the population of the electoral wards within the city, the projected share of the district municipality (in which the ward is located) to provincial population, and then projected share of local municipality (in which the ward is located) population to district municipality population were first projected using the ratio method. The principle is the same as outlined above in the projections of the city’s population. The stages in the projections of the electoral ward population therefore entailed the following:

- Firstly, cohort component projections of provincial population as outlined above. The results were part of the inputs for projecting the population of the relevant district municipality;
- Secondly, projections of the relevant district municipality’s population from 2011 to 2021 using the ratio method were made. The results were part of the inputs for projecting the populations of the relevant local municipalities;
- Thirdly, projections of the relevant local municipalities’ populations from 2011 to 2021 were made using the ratio method. The results were part of the inputs for projecting the populations of electoral wards; and
- Finally, projections of the populations of the relevant electoral wards in the provinces from 2011 to 2021 were made.

The steps in projecting the ward level population size are summarised as follows:

- Compute observed ratio of each ward within the city to the city’s population in 1996, 2001 and 2011;
• Project the ratios in (1) above to 2016 for each ward within the city; and
• Compute the product of the projected ratios in (2) above and projected city population to obtain the estimated mid-2016 ward population for the city.

2.3.5 Financial analysis

Having obtained the 12 data sheets as indicated above (see section 2.1.2), the 10 Stats SA Financial Censuses of municipality data sheets were individually analysed in order to derive totals with respect to two municipal revenue variables, namely:

• Revenue generated from rates and general services rendered: According to Stats SA (2016), such revenue consists of property rates, the receipt of grants and subsidies and other contributions.
• Revenue generated through housing and trading services rendered: According to Stats SA (2016), such revenue consists of revenue generated through all activities associated with the provision of housing as well as trading services which include waste management, wastewater management, road transport, water, electricity and other trading services.

The two revenue totals were then aggregated for the period 2005 to 2014 for which revenue results were obtained from Stats SA. The obtained results for the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality’s revenues were typed onto one spreadsheet covering the period 2005 to 2014. By doing this, the 2005 to 2014 municipal revenue time-series was created consisting of three sub-time-series for the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality, namely for (1) revenue generated from rates and general services rendered by the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality, (2) revenue generated through housing and trading services rendered by the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality and (3) for total municipal revenue of the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality. The ‘total revenue’ time-series was generated by adding together the revenue generated from rates and general services rendered time-series and revenue generated through housing and trading services rendered time-series. A total of 3 (three municipal revenue by one municipality) time-series covering the
period 2005 to 2014 were tested for consistency and stability as a necessary condition for the ARIMA, population and economic forecast-based municipal revenue projections conducted for this study. Thereafter, the SARB household consumption expenditure data in nominal and real terms time-series covering the period 2005 to 2014 were included in the same data sheet.

Having obtained the total municipal revenue time-series which is expressed in nominal terms, an expenditure deflator was required to arrive at a municipal revenue time series for 2005 to 2014 in real terms with respect to the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality. By dividing the household expenditure variable at constant prices through the household expenditure variable at nominal prices, an expenditure deflator time-series for the period 2005 to 2014 was derived with 2010 as the base year (2010 constant prices). By dividing the municipal revenues in nominal terms time-series for 2005 to 2014 through the expenditure deflator time-series for the period 2005 to 2014, municipal revenue at 2010 constant prices time-series for the period 2005 to 2014 with respect to the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality was obtained.

Having obtained 2005 to 2014 revenue estimates in nominal and real terms, autoregressive integrated moving averages (ARIMA) equations were applied to the 2005 to 2014 municipal revenue time-series in order to generate 2015 to 2021 municipal revenue estimates in nominal and real terms. ARIMA was used for projection purposes due to the stability of the 2005 to 2014 Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality municipal revenue time-series. By using ARIMA, no assumptions had to be made regarding future revenue generation practices of the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality and long-term underlying trends in the data set could be used to inform future municipal revenue outcomes. Furthermore, it was apparent from analysing the 2005 to 2014 municipal revenue time-series for this study that annual nominal municipal revenue growth rates were fairly consistent, which lends further credibility for using ARIMA for projection purposes (see figures 6.1 to 6.6). The ARIMA-based result was augmented by means of an equation that was applied to both municipal revenues derived from rates and taxes as well as from municipal trading income to
determine whether the ARIMA result provided estimates of greatest likelihood. This equation was as follows:

\[ R_{t+1} = R_t \times \left( \frac{(P + H + C)}{3} + A \right) \]

where:

- \( R_{t+1} \): Municipal revenue at time plus 1.
- \( R_t \): Municipal revenue at time plus 0.
- \( P \): Population growth rate.
- \( H \): Household consumption expenditure growth rate.
- \( C \): Consumer price inflation.
- \( A \): Municipal accelerator.

Where the ARIMA and equation-based results were similar, the ARIMA-based result was used. In cases where the ARIMA-based result differed from the equation, the equation-based result was used.

The obtained municipal revenue estimates in nominal and real terms were then divided by the 2015 to 2021 municipal population estimates in order to derive per capita municipal revenue estimates in nominal and real terms. Having obtained such estimates, diagnostic tests were conducted to determine the stability and likelihood of such estimates. Such diagnostic tests included stability and volatility tests to determine the integrity of the various time-series over the period 2005 to 2021.
CHAPTER 3

RESULTS PART 1: BASIC DEMOGRAPHIC AND POPULATION INDICATORS, 2001 AND 2011

3.1 INTRODUCTION

Indicators provide a tool for understanding the characteristics and structure of the population on which development programmes are directed, that is, understanding the development context. Linked to this, is the monitoring of different dimensions of development progress. According to Brizius and Campbell (1991) cited in Horsch (1997), indicators provide evidence that a certain condition exists or certain results have or have not been achieved. Horsch (1997) further notes that indicators enable decision-makers to assess progress towards the achievement of intended outputs, outcomes, goals, and objectives. As such, according to Horsh (1997), indicators are an integral part of a results-based accountability system. This chapter provides some basic demographic and population indicators for the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality. To contextualise the magnitudes of the indicators, they are compared with the national and provincial (the province in which the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality is located) values.

3.2 DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE

3.2. Population size

The population sizes of the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality are compared with those of Eastern Cape Province and South Africa as a whole in 2001 and 2011 in figure 3.1. In absolute terms, the population of the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality increased from 1 005 779 in 2001 to 1 152 115 in 2011 during the period 2001 and 2011. The city’s population accounted for about 16.0% and 17.6% of the provincial population of Eastern Cape in 2001 and 2011 respectively and about 2.2% of the national population in 2001 and 2011.
3.2.2 Annual growth rate and doubling time

The increase in the absolute size of the population of the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality’s population implies the annual growth rate during the period 2001 and 2011 in comparison with Eastern Cape Province and national population shown in figure 3.2. The increase suggests that the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality’s population is growing faster than the growth rate of the provincial population and at the same rate as national population. If the present growth rate continued, the population of the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality could double in about 52 years in comparison with the doubling time of about 159 years for the population of Eastern Cape Province (figure 3.3).
Comparing the above figures with the national figures, the 2001 and 2011 South African census figures implies that the national population could double in about 48.6 years if present trend continued.
3.2.3 **Age structure of the population**

Figures 3.4-3.6 indicate that the proportions of the population aged 0-14 declined marginally while there was a marginal increase in the proportions aged 65 years and over during the period 2001 and 2011 in the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality. The proportions aged 15-64 (working age group) remained stable during the period. The proportions aged 0-14 in the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality were lower the corresponding proportions in the Eastern Cape province and national population in 2001 and 2011. Such population dynamic is usually due to marginal decline in fertility resulting in marginal increase in ageing of the population. Stable increase in net migration volume may have contributed to the stability in the proportions aged 15-64 in Nelson Mandela Bay.

**FIGURE 3.4**

PERCENTAGE AGED 0-14 YEARS, 2001 AND 2011

Source: Computed from South Africa’s 2001 and 2011 Censuses
FIGURE 3.5
PERCENTAGE AGED 15-64 YEARS, 2001 AND 2011

Source: Computed from South Africa’s 2001 and 2011 Censuses

FIGURE 3.6
PERCENTAGE AGED 65 YEARS AND OVER, 2001 AND 2011

Source: Computed from South Africa’s 2001 and 2011 Censuses
In view of the age structure, the overall age dependency burden in the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality was about 46 dependents for every 100 persons in the working age group in 2001 and 2011 (figure 3.7). The overall dependency burden in the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality was much lower than the overall dependency burden in Eastern Cape Province as a whole in 2011. The child and elderly dependency burdens are shown in figures 3.8 – 3.9.

In absolute terms, the elderly population in the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality was 52,966 in 2001 and 68,633 in 2011 (figure 3.10). This implied an annual growth rate of the elderly population of 2.6% during the period (figure 3.11), higher than the rate for Eastern Cape Province and the country as a whole during the period.

**FIGURE 3.7**

OVERALL DEPENDENCY BURDEN, 2001 AND 2011

- Nelson Mandela Bay: 45.9% in 2001, 46.0% in 2011
- Eastern Cape: 75.0% in 2001, 66.0% in 2011
- South Africa: 58.7% in 2001, 52.7% in 2011

Source: Computed from South Africa’s 2001 and 2011 Censuses
FIGURE 3.8
CHILD DEPENDENCY BURDEN, 2001 AND 2011

Source: Computed from South Africa’s 2001 and 2011 Censuses

FIGURE 3.9
ELDERLY DEPENDENCY BURDEN, 2001 AND 2011

Source: Computed from South Africa’s 2001 and 2011 Censuses
FIGURE 3.10

SIZE OF THE ELDERLY POPULATION, 2001 AND 2011

Source: Computed from South Africa’s 2001 and 2011 Censuses

FIGURE 3.11

PERCENTAGE ANNUAL GROWTH RATE OF THE ELDERLY POPULATION, 2001-2011

Source: Computed from South Africa’s 2001 and 2011 Censuses
Youths (persons aged 14-35 years) constituted about 40% of the population of the population of the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality, slightly lower than in Eastern Cape Province and about the same proportion as the national population in 2001 and 2011 (figure 3.12).

FIGURE 3.12

PERCENTAGE OF THE YOUTH POPULATION, 2001 AND 2011

Source: Computed from South Africa’s 2001 and 2011 Censuses

As a result of the age structure, the median age of the population of the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality was 26 years in 2001 and 28 years 2011. The median age was much lower than corresponding median age in Eastern Cape in both periods (figure 3.13). According to Shryock and Siegal and Associates (1976), populations with medians under 20 may be described as “young”, those with medians 20-29 as “intermediate” and those with medians 30 or over as “old” age. This classification
implies that the population of the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality is at an intermediate stage of ageing.

**FIGURE 3.13**

**MEDIAN AGE OF THE POPULATION, 2001 AND 2011**

Source: Computed from South Africa’s 2001 and 2011 Censuses

3.3 **HOUSEHOLD PROFILE**

3.3.1 **Number of housing units and growth**

Figure 3.14 indicates that the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality experienced an increase in the number of housing units during the period 2001 and 2011 in absolute terms as in Eastern Cape Province and the country as a whole. This resulted in annual growth rate in housing units in the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality of about 2.1% per annum during the period, higher than the growth rate in housing units in Eastern Cape as a whole during the period (figure 3.15).
FIGURE 3.14

NUMBER OF HOUSING UNITS 2001 AND 2011

Source: Computed from South Africa’s 2001 and 2011 Censuses

FIGURE 3.15

PERCENTAGE ANNUAL GROWTH RATE IN THE NUMBER OF HOUSING UNITS, 2001-2011

Source: Computed from South Africa’s 2001 and 2011 Censuses
3.3.2 Number of persons in households

Figures 3.16 and 3.17 appear to indicate that the composition of households is that of increasing tendency towards fewer person households in the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality as in Eastern Cape and the country as a whole. The percentage of 1-person households increased from about 15% in 2001 to about 20% in 2011 while the percentage of 5-9 person households decreased from about 28% in 2001 to about 23% in 2011 in the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality. In both periods, 2-4 person households were the most common form of household occupancy. This constituted over 50% of all types of household occupancy groups.

FIGURE 3.16

PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLDS WITH SPECIFIED NUMBER OF PERSONS, 2001

Source: Computed from 2001 South Africa’s Census
FIGURE 3.17

PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLDS WITH SPECIFIED NUMBER OF PERSONS, 2011

Source: Computed from 2011 South Africa’s Census

Consequently, the average household size in the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality was 3.2 persons in 2001 and 3.4 persons in 2011 (figure 3.18).

FIGURE 3.18

AVERAGE HOUSEHOLD SIZE, 2001 AND 2011

Source: Computed from 2001 and 2011 South Africa’s Census
3.3.3 **Household headship**

Figures 3.19 and 3.20 suggest that the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality had lower than the provincial and national average of the percentage of households headed by females in 2011.

**FIGURE 3.19**

**PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLDS HEADED BY MALE/FEMALE, 2001**

Source: Computed from 2001 and 2011 South Africa's Censuses

**FIGURE 3.20**

**PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLDS HEADED BY MALE/FEMALE, 2011**

Source: Computed from 2001 and 2011 South Africa’s Censuses
3.3.4 Median age of household heads

Female heads of households were on average older than male heads of households in the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality as in Eastern Cape and the country as a whole in 2001 and 2011 respectively (figures 3.21-3.22). This is partly due to the known biological higher mortality among males than females at any given age.

FIGURE 3.21

MEDIAN AGE OF HOUSEHOLD HEADS BY SEX, 2001

Source: Computed from 2001 and 2011 South Africa’s Censuses

FIGURE 3.22

MEDIAN AGE OF HOUSEHOLD HEADS BY SEX, 2011

Source: Computed from 2001 and 2011 South Africa’s Censuses
3.4 **EDUCATIONAL PROFILE**

The percentage of the population aged 25 years and above in 2001 with no schooling in the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality was about 7% in 2001 but declined to about 3% in 2011 (figure 3.23). Conversely, the percentage with Grade 12 schooling in the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality increased from about 13% in 2001 to about 18% in 2011 (figures 3.25 and 3.26). Only a small percentage of the population aged 25 years and above in the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality had a bachelor’s degree or higher in 2001 and 2011 with a marginal increase between 2001 and 2011. The pattern in educational profile in the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality is similar to the provincial and national profiles.

**FIGURE 3.23**

PERCENTAGE OF THE POPULATION WITH NO SCHOOLING BY SEX (PERSONS AGED 25 YEARS AND OVER), 2001

![Bar chart showing the percentage of the population with no schooling by sex in 2001 for Nelson Mandela Bay, Eastern Cape, and South Africa.](image)

*Source: Computed from 2001 and 2011 South Africa’s Censuses*
FIGURE 3.24

PERCENTAGE OF THE POPULATION WITH NO SCHOOLING BY SEX (PERSONS AGED 25 YEARS AND OVER), 2011

Source: Computed from 2001 and 2011 South Africa’s Censuses

FIGURE 3.25

PERCENTAGE OF THE POPULATION WITH GRADE 12 BY SEX (PERSONS AGED 25 YEARS AND OVER), 2001

Source: Computed from 2001 and 2011 South Africa’s Censuses
FIGURE 3.26
PERCENTAGE OF THE POPULATION WITH GRADE 12 BY SEX
(PERSONS AGED 25 YEARS AND OVER), 2011

Source: Computed from 2001 and 2011 South Africa’s Censuses

FIGURE 3.27
PERCENTAGE OF THE POPULATION WITH BACHELOR’S DEGREE OR HIGHER BY SEX
(PERSONS AGED 25 YEARS AND OVER), 2001

Source: Computed from 2001 and 2011 South Africa’s Censuses
3.5 VULNERABILITY AND POVERTY

3.5.1 Unemployment

The percentage of persons unemployed in the last seven days (before interview) among the economically active persons (persons employed or unemployed but want to work) declined in the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality as in Eastern Cape Province for both sexes during the period 2001 and 2011 (figures 3.29 and 3.30). The prevalence of unemployment was higher among females than males in 2001 and 2011. In 2011, nearly one-half of the economically active females in the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality were unemployed in the last seven days before the census interview.

The prevalence of unemployment was also high among household heads. In 2011, seven days before the census, for example, the percentage of the unemployed among the economically active population in the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality...
who were household heads was about 30%. However, the prevalence of unemployment among household heads in the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality was lower than the provincial average during the period (figure 3.31).

Although the prevalence of youth unemployment (economically active persons aged 15-35) declined during the period 2001 and 2011 in the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality, and was lower than the provincial in both periods (figure 3.32), over one-half of the economically active youths were unemployed in both periods.

FIGURE 3.29
PERCENTAGE UNEMPLOYED (EXPANDED DEFINITION) LAST SEVEN DAYS BY SEX, 2001

Source: Computed from 2001 census South Africa’s Census

FIGURE 3.30
PERCENTAGE OF UNEMPLOYED (EXPANDED DEFINITION) LAST SEVEN DAYS BY SEX, 2011

Source: Computed from 2011 census South Africa’s Census
FIGURE 3.31

PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD HEADS UNEMPLOYED (EXPANDED DEFINITION)
LAST SEVEN DAYS, 2001 AND 2011

Source: Computed from South Africa’s 2001 and 2011 Censuses

FIGURE 3.32

PERCENTAGE OF YOUTHS UNEMPLOYED (EXPANDED DEFINITION)
LAST SEVEN DAYS, 2001 AND 2011

Source: Computed from South Africa’s 2001 and 2011 Censuses
3.5.2 Income

Over 60% of employed persons in the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality in 2001 were in the low income (R1-R3 200 per month) category (figure 3.33). Although the proportion of employed persons in the low income category declined during the period 2001 and 2011, about 43% of employed persons were in the low income category in 2011 (figure 3.34). Eastern Cape Province and the country as a whole had a similar pattern.

FIGURE 3.33
PERCENTAGE OF THE EMPLOYED WITH SPECIFIED INCOME PER MONTH, 2001

Source: Computed from South Africa’s 2001 Census

FIGURE 3.34
PERCENTAGE OF THE EMPLOYED WITH SPECIFIED INCOME PER MONTH, 2011

Source: Computed from South Africa’s 2001 Census
3.5.3 Tenure status

Over a third of households in the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality were either bonded or paying rent during the period 2001 and 2011 though the percentage decreased during the period. This implies that though still high, the decreasingly less households are in debt to either financial institutions or landlords/landladies. The percentage of bonded households or paying rent in the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality was higher than the corresponding proportion in Eastern Cape Province during the period 2001 and 2011 (figure 3.35).

**FIGURE 3.35**

PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLDS BONDED OR PAYING RENT, 2001 AND 2011

![Bar chart showing the percentage of households bonded or paying rent, 2001 and 2011.](image)

Source: Computed from South Africa’s 2001 Census

3.5.4 Household access to energy and sanitation

Although access to electricity for lighting improved in the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality between 2001 and 2011, about 10% of households still did not have access to electricity for lighting in 2011. This was however lower than the percentage for Eastern Cape and the country as a whole that did not have access to electricity for lighting in 2011 (figure 3.36).
Regarding sanitation, it would appear that there is a challenge with access to flush toilets. In 2011, about 22% of households in the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality did not have access to flush toilets. However, this percentage was much lower than the national average (figure 3.37).

**FIGURE 3.36**

PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLDS WITHOUT ELECTRICITY FOR LIGHTING, 2001 AND 2011

Source: Computed from South Africa’s 2001 and 2011 Censuses

**FIGURE 3.37**

PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLDS WITHOUT ACCESS TO FLUSH TOILETS, 2001 AND 2011

Source: Computed from South Africa’s 2001 and 2011 Censuses
CHAPTER 4

RESULTS PART 2: PROJECTED POPULATION OF NELSON MANDELA BAY MUNICIPALITY, 2011 – 2021

4.1 ABSOLUTE NUMBERS AND GROWTH RATES

Although the focus of this study is on South African cities, the methodologies employed required that the projections first be carried out at provincial level. In view of this, the population projections for Eastern Cape Province, in which the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality is located, are first presented for the beginning and end of the projection period.

The results indicate that if the assumptions underlying the projections hold, Eastern Cape population could increase from about 6.5 million in 2011 to about 7.1 million in 2021 (table 4.1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mid-year</th>
<th>Eastern Cape</th>
<th>Nelson Mandela Bay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>6 549 560</td>
<td>1 149 923</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>6 700 628</td>
<td>1 217 674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>6 747 832</td>
<td>1 236 632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>6 799 892</td>
<td>1 256 632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>6 856 878</td>
<td>1 277 711</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>6 919 038</td>
<td>1 299 937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>6 986 807</td>
<td>1 323 416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>7 060 677</td>
<td>1 348 269</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Authors’ projections

It is projected that the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality’s population could increase from about 1.2 million in 2011 to about 1.3 million in 2021 (table 4.1) if the assumptions underlying the projections hold.
The annual growth rates implied in the projections are shown in table 4.2 and suggest that Eastern Cape population could grow at a rate of between 0.7% to 1.1% per annum during the period 2016 – 2021 while the population of the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality could grow at a rate of about 1.5% to 1.9% per annum during the same period. Thus, the population of the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality is projected to grow at a faster rate than the rate of growth in the population of Eastern Cape as a whole.

**TABLE 4.2**

**PROJECTED ANNUAL POPULATION GROWTH RATES (PERCENTAGE) OF EASTERN CAPE AND NELSON MANDELA BAY MUNICIPALITY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mid-year</th>
<th>Eastern Cape</th>
<th>Nelson Mandela Bay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Authors’ projections
CHAPTER 5
RESULTS PART 3: MID-2016 WARD LEVEL POPULATION ESTIMATES WITHIN NELSON MANDELA BAY MUNICIPALITY

5.1 INTRODUCTION

This chapter presents the results of the mid-2016 ward population estimates within the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality. The estimated absolute population ward sizes are shown in Appendix 2. The projected ward population should be treated with caution and interpreted as indicative. Some of the values seem too low and this is because in some of the wards, the enumerated ward population in the 2011 census was lower than the enumerated ward population in 2001 adjusting for boundary changes indicating a decline in the ward population in the inter-censal period (i.e. between 2001 and 2011). For example, the estimated population of Ward 29300016 in 2001 adjusting for undercount according to the official census figures was 14 680 persons; 9 186 were estimated in that ward in the 2011 census adjusting for undercount and the 2011 municipal boundaries, indicating a decline of 5 500 persons in that ward during the inter-censal period. It may very well be that the decline was due to out-migration from the ward to other places in or outside South Africa. When this trend was projected to 2016 using the methods described above, a lower population than in the 2011 census was obtained. A summary of the ward population estimates is provided below.

5.2 THE ESTIMATED 20 LARGEST WARDS IN THE NELSON MANDELA BAY MUNICIPALITY IN MID-2016

The projected population of the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality in 2016 constituted about 2.3% of the projected population of South Africa in 2016 and about 18.3% of the projected population of the Eastern Cape in 2016. The estimated 20 largest wards in the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality in mid-2016 shown in figure 5.1 (about 48.8% of the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality’s projected population in 2016) indicate that the largest ward is 29300041 (72 371 persons) and 20th largest ward is 29300037 (22 260) as at mid-2016. The estimated ward
populations in the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality ranged from 5,765 persons (Ward 29300016) to 72,371 persons (Ward 29300041).

FIGURE 5.1

THE ESTIMATED 20 LARGEST WARDS IN NELSON MANDELA BAY MUNICIPALITY

Source: Authors’ estimates
CHAPTER 6

RESULTS PART 4: FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS OF POPULATION CHANGE FOR REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE IN Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality

6.1 INTRODUCTION

Chapters 3 to 5 of this report provided the population projection results with respect to Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality. This chapter shows the municipal revenue projection results for the period 2015 to 2021 with respect to the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality. This is followed by the results of bringing the revenue and population results together in order to produce per capita municipal revenue estimates for the period 2015 to 2021 (see Section 6.3). But before focusing on the 2015 to 2021 municipal revenue projection results, it is important to have a look at the 2005 to 2014 municipal revenue results as background to the discussion of the 2015 to 2021 projection results (see Section 6.2).

6.2 NELSON MANDELA BAY MUNICIPALITY MUNICIPAL REVENUE OUTCOMES FOR 2005 TO 2014

The Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality municipal revenue outcomes for the period 2005 to 2014 as derived from the Stats SA municipal revenue data sets (see Chapter 2) in nominal Rand and real Rand (2010 constant prices) are shown in figure 6.1 below. In figure 6.1, the actual municipal revenue outcomes for Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality for the period 2005 to 2014 are provided. It appears from the line graphs shown in figure 6.1 that during the period 2005 to 2014 there has been substantial growth in municipal revenues in both nominal and real terms in Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality, namely 192% growth in nominal terms and 73% growth in real terms. It is important to note that during the period 2012 and 2014, fairly rapid revenue growth was experienced that will continue during the projection period due to a variety of factors including, inter alia relatively high increases in electricity tariffs, large-scale infrastructural development projects afoot in this municipality and above inflation annual municipal rate hikes.
6.3 NELSON MANDELA BAY MUNICIPALITY MUNICIPAL REVENUE PROJECTION OUTCOMES FOR 2015 TO 2021

An overview of the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality municipal revenue dynamics during the period 2005 to 2014 has been provided figure 6.1 above. The Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality municipal revenue results obtained by using the data and methods were described in chapter 2 above. The aim was to derive municipal revenue projections of greatest likelihood in nominal and real terms as well as per capita municipal revenue projections in nominal and real terms are provided in this chapter.

The projection results with respect to the Nelson Mandela Metro are being provided in table 6.1 below. It appears from this table that while the population of this municipality is being projected to grow by 10.7% during the period 2015 to 2021, real revenue is projected to grow by 37.3%. Consequently, this gives rise to 24.0% growth in per capita real revenues over the projection period (2015 to 2021). An
analysis of the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality (2016) budget confirms to a large extent to the figures shown in table 6.1. This study expects fairly strong revenue growth for the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality over the 2015 to 2019 period.

### TABLE 6.1

**MUNICIPAL REVENUE PROJECTION RESULTS FOR NELSON MANDELA METRO 2015 TO 2021**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rates income</td>
<td>3 843 086 323</td>
<td>4 617 063 715</td>
<td>5 484 308 485</td>
<td>6 558 298 575</td>
<td>70.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trading income</td>
<td>7 652 341 759</td>
<td>9 610 598 966</td>
<td>12 286 719 704</td>
<td>15 587 737 738</td>
<td>103.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>11 495 428 083</td>
<td>14 227 662 680</td>
<td>17 771 028 189</td>
<td>22 146 036 313</td>
<td>92.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total - Real (2010 prices)</td>
<td>8 665 346 379</td>
<td>9 579 953 413</td>
<td>10 686 305 247</td>
<td>11 895 916 524</td>
<td>37.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population</td>
<td>1 217 674</td>
<td>1 256 632</td>
<td>1 299 937</td>
<td>1 348 269</td>
<td>10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per capita revenue - nominal</td>
<td>9 440</td>
<td>11 322</td>
<td>13 671</td>
<td>16 426</td>
<td>74.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per capita revenue - real</td>
<td>7 116</td>
<td>7 624</td>
<td>8 221</td>
<td>8 823</td>
<td>24.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There are a large number of potential contributors to the expected growth in per capita real municipal revenues during the 2015 to 2021 period in the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality. Such reasons include inter alia:

- Rapid growth in the number of middle class members within the municipal boundary;
- Gross value added growth in a large number of industries including, inter alia, automotive and components, manufacturing, textiles and clothing, medicines electronics, chlorine and petrochemicals, logistics, robotics, energy and agribusiness; and
- Infrastructure for investment being created at the Coega Industrial Development Zone.

The municipal revenue projection results with respect to the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality were provided in table 6.1. Figure 6.2 provides a comparative analysis of the municipal revenue projection of the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality with that of the other large urban municipalities in South Africa. It appears that the forecasted
municipal revenue growth of the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality falls somewhere in the lower half compared to the other large urban municipalities.

**FIGURE 6.2**

**COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF TOTAL REVENUE IN NOMINAL TERMS, 2015 TO 2021 (RAND)**

Figure 6.3 shows the projected per capita municipal revenue trends for the same nine large urban areas shown in figure 6.2. The forecasted per capita municipal revenues with respect to Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality appears to be towards the middle of the spectrum compared to the other eight large urban municipalities shown in the figure.
FIGURE 6.3

COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF PER CAPITA REVENUE IN NOMINAL TERMS, 2015 TO 2021
(RAND)
CHAPTER 7
DISCUSSION, CONCLUSION AND LIMITATIONS

7.1 DEMOGRAPHIC ANALYSIS

The levels of the indicators presented in this study indicate that there are some areas where the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality shows higher levels of human development than the general population of Eastern Cape and South Africa. However, development plans need to take into consideration some of the levels of the indicators. These include population growth. The current population growth rate estimated as 2.0% per annum implies that the population of the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality could double in about 35 years.

Another consideration is the age structure of the population. While the proportion of the population aged 0-14 has been somewhat stable in the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality, the survivors of this cohort in the next 1-15 years will be potential entrants into the labour market. Although the proportion of the elderly population in the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality is still small, the annual intercensal (2001 and 2011) growth rate was 2.6% per annum.

Regarding housing, the growth rate in housing units during the period 2001-2011 was about 2.1% per annum in the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality. At the same time, over a third of the housing units in the city were bonded or paying rent in 2011. This implies a debt burden in a substantial number of household in the city.

These conditions raise of the following questions regarding development:

- Given competing allocation of scarce resources, is it possible to accelerate improvement in people’s welfare if present growth rates in some of the cities continue?
- What is the implication for electricity provision by ESKOM, or for housing, health, et cetera? In view of the declining trend in the size of the 0-14 age
group with accompanying increase in the working age group, what is the implication for the education sector in absorbing the potential increase in entrants to tertiary institutions?

- What is the implication of the increase in the size of the working age group for employment and job creation, savings, capital formation and investment if there are more new entrants into the labour market than those that exit?
- What is the implication for resource allocations with regard to different forms of old age support by government in view of the high growth rate of the population of the elderly in the cities?

Regarding the population projections, the results indicate that the population of the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality could increase from about 1.2 million in 2016 to about 1.3 million in 2021. This absolute increase in population size raises questions regarding development in the city; for example; in the housing sector, electricity, health, water and sanitation, etc.

The estimated ward populations of the city as of mid-2016 ranged widely. This implies different levels of development challenges in the city’s wards such as provision of health care, schools, housing, electricity, water, sanitation etc. The fact that wards in South Africa do not have names makes it difficult to physically identify the extent of the wards even by those living in the wards. Could this possibly impede social and political identity with wards aside service delivery issues?

7.2.1 Limitations of the demographic analysis

It should be cautioned that the population estimates presented in this study are only as good as the quality or accuracy of the source data on which the estimates were based. The population estimates for some of the wards implies doubtful high negative growth rates even when migration is taken into account. The negative growth rates are due to the seemingly decline in the census population figures for these wards in 2001 and 2011 and projected forward using the methods described above. It should also be mentioned that the population estimates were based on
the 2011 municipal boundaries. The new 2016 municipal boundaries together with the necessary data required for the population estimation were not available at the time of this study. If the methods of population estimation were applied to the new municipal boundaries’ populations, some of the results would be different from those presented in this study in those municipalities where the boundaries have been re-demarcated. Although this would likely only affect a few provinces, there is a need to re-visit the estimates presented in this study when the necessary data pertaining to the new municipal boundaries become available in an appropriate database. Lastly, migration is another issue to be taken into consideration. The assumptions about immigration and emigration in this study were based on obsolete data because there is no new processed information on these from Stats SA. Although the South African 2016 Community Survey by Stats SA included a module on migration, the raw data files were not available to the public at the time of this study. Therefore, there is a need to re-visit the projections when new migration data becomes available.

7.2 FINANCIAL ANALYSIS

In this study, projection figures of greatest probability with respect to Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality’s municipal revenues were provided. It is clear from the results of this study that relatively high levels of real municipal revenue growth during the period 2015 to 2021 will be realized with the demographic dividend of lower population growth providing the extra benefit of high real per capita revenue growth rates. The main reasons which were identified for such growth include, inter alia, the strong growth of the middle and upper income groups in Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality, increasing concentration in the metropolitan areas of economic activity in South Africa, growing trade and investment, new manufacturing and service projects as well as the broadening of the industrial and tourism base in the metropolitan areas (including Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality).
However, a number of variables remain, which will have an impact on the realised municipal revenues of Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality during the 2015 to 2021 forecast period. Such factors include:

- **economic growth rates** in the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality Municipal area during the period 2015 to 2021. It is currently expected that fairly low economic growth rates will be realised, giving rise to depressed nominal municipal revenue growth during the forecast period compared to what it could have been. Should higher than expected economic growth rates be realised, the municipal revenue outcomes for Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality may be slightly higher than forecasted in this report;

- **household income growth** rates for the forecast period are also expected to be low, giving rise to fairly low Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality municipal income growth trajectories over the 2015 to 2021 period. Low household income growth rates are expected during the forecast period due to the above-mentioned expected low economic growth rates as well as low levels of elasticity between economic growth, employment growth and household income growth during the forecast period. Should higher than expected household income growth rates be realised, higher than the forecasted municipal revenue outcomes may be realised in the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality;

- there are at present severe **fiscal expenditure constraints** impacting negatively on municipal revenue streams (including that of Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality). It is expected that such constraints will remain for the entire 2015 to 2021 forecast period. Should such fiscal expenditure constraints become less severe during the forecast period, higher municipal revenue outcomes may be realised in the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality than are reflected in this report;

- there are at present high levels of **financial leakages** at municipal level impacting negatively on municipal revenue streams. Such leakages include, inter alia, non-payment for services rendered, corruption, low levels of investment spending, etc. Should such leakages be effectively addressed during the period 2015 to 2021, substantially higher municipal revenue growth may be realised;
• possible national or **municipal investment ratings downgrades** were not factored in the forecasts shown in this report. Should such forecasts be realised, far lower municipal revenue growth may realise; and

• the future **financial administrative ability** of the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality will have an impact on the future revenue streams of the city during the forecast period. Should such functions either dramatically improve or deteriorate during the forecast period, it will have a major impact on Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality municipal revenues during that period.

The municipal revenue forecasts for the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality reflected in this report are based on current and expected future national, provincial and municipal realities. Should conditions change over the forecast period, it will be imperative to revise/update the municipal revenue forecasts being provided in this report in order to reflect such new realities.
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APPENDIX 1

DEFINITIONS OF IDENTIFIED DEMOGRAPHIC, POPULATION AND REVENUE INDICATORS

**Autoregressive integrated moving average (ARIMA)** model: In time-series analyses conducted in econometrics and ARIMA model is a generalization of an autoregressive moving average (ARMA) model. These models are used for projection purposes in some cases where time-series are non-stationary and where a differencing step (corresponding to the "integrated" part of the model) can be applied to reduce such non-stationarity.

**Child dependency burden** is the ratio of the number of persons aged 0-14 to the number of persons in the working age group multiplied by 100.

**Deflator** is an index of prices that can be applied to a nominal time-series in order to remove the effects of changes in the general level of prices in order to generate a real (constant) price time-series.

**Doubling time** entails the number of years it would take for the population to double its current size if the current annual growth remained the same.

**Elderly dependency burden** refers to the ratio of the number of persons aged 65 years and over to the number of persons in the working age group multiplied by 100.

**Elderly population** is the population aged 65 years and over.

**Flush toilet** refers to a toilet connected to sewerage and flush toilet with septic tank.

**Growth rate of the Population** is the ratio of total growth in a given period to the total population as given in the census results. The geometric formula of the exponential form was used in the computation.

**Municipal revenue** is the total revenue generated by a municipality through services, levies, municipal rates and taxes, transfers and interest earned during a specific financial year.

**Nominal revenue growth** is the growth of revenue at market prices (face value).

**Overall dependency burden** is the age dependency ratio and is a proxy for economic dependency. The overall dependency is defined as the ratio of the number of persons aged 0-14 (i.e. children) plus the number of persons aged 65 years and above to the number of persons in the working age group (i.e. 15-64) multiplied by 100.

**Overall sex ratio** is the number of males per 100 females in the population.

**Per capita revenue growth** refers to total municipal revenue growth in nominal or real terms during a specific financial year divided by the number of people in a municipality during a given year.

**Piped water** refers to tap water in dwelling, tap water inside yard and tap water in community stand.
**Real revenue growth** refers to the growth of revenue at 2010 constant prices bringing about a situation where the effect of price inflation has been eliminated from the growth rate presented.

**Refuse disposal** refers to refuse removed by local authority, communal refuse dump and own refuse dump.

**Tenure Status** is a measure of the proportion of total households that are indebted in terms of ownership, occupying dwellings for free. The categories of households include fully paid dwellings, owned but not yet fully paid off dwellings, and rented dwellings.

**Unemployed (expanded definition)** is the value of the percentage that depends on how the *economically active population - aged 15-64* - (which is the denominator for calculating the percentage unemployed) is defined. In the expanded definition, the economically active population is defined as people who either worked in the last seven days (i.e. employed) prior to the interview or who did not work during the last seven days (i.e. unemployed) but want to work and available to start work within a week of the interview whether or not they have taken steps to look for work or to start some form of self-employment in the four weeks prior to the interview. The *strict definition* excludes from the economically active population persons who have not taken any steps to look for work or start some form of employment in the four weeks prior to the interview (Stats SA).
APPENDIX 2

THE ESTIMATED ABSOLUTE MID-2016 WARD POPULATION SIZE
NELSON MANDELA BAY MUNICIPALITY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WARD ID</th>
<th>ESTIMATED MID-2016 POPULATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>29300001</td>
<td>20 566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29300002</td>
<td>24 541</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29300003</td>
<td>15 713</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29300004</td>
<td>29 416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29300005</td>
<td>19 483</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29300006</td>
<td>14 072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29300007</td>
<td>16 994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29300008</td>
<td>23 090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29300009</td>
<td>15 769</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29300010</td>
<td>18 264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29300011</td>
<td>17 477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29300012</td>
<td>28 146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29300013</td>
<td>21 623</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29300014</td>
<td>11 796</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29300015</td>
<td>13 666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29300016</td>
<td>5 765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29300017</td>
<td>13 539</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29300018</td>
<td>15 603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29300019</td>
<td>7 936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29300020</td>
<td>10 445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29300021</td>
<td>12 185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29300022</td>
<td>14 245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29300023</td>
<td>13 625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29300024</td>
<td>11 345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29300025</td>
<td>17 748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29300026</td>
<td>10 320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29300027</td>
<td>13 026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29300028</td>
<td>16 139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29300029</td>
<td>22 562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29300030</td>
<td>10 831</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29300031</td>
<td>21 605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29300032</td>
<td>25 253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29300034</td>
<td>22 960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29300035</td>
<td>19 722</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29300036</td>
<td>14 199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29300037</td>
<td>22 260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29300038</td>
<td>21 747</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29300039</td>
<td>19 411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29300040</td>
<td>28 879</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29300041</td>
<td>72 371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29300042</td>
<td>21 089</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(cont.)
NELSON MANDELA BAY MUNICIPALITY (CONTINUED)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WARD ID</th>
<th>ESTIMATED MID-2016 POPULATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>29300043</td>
<td>18 579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29300044</td>
<td>30 562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29300045</td>
<td>25 820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29300046</td>
<td>18 909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29300047</td>
<td>14 793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29300048</td>
<td>16 968</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29300049</td>
<td>18 647</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29300050</td>
<td>28 619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29300051</td>
<td>26 920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29300052</td>
<td>26 320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29300053</td>
<td>40 366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29300054</td>
<td>28 947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29300055</td>
<td>29 874</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29300056</td>
<td>29 703</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29300057</td>
<td>16 739</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29300058</td>
<td>17 295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29300059</td>
<td>17 078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29300060</td>
<td>36 948</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Authors’ estimates